

Alternative Approach to Distribution Theory for Engineers, motivated by Time-Frequency Analysis

Hans G. Feichtinger, Univ. Vienna & TUM
hans.feichtinger@univie.ac.at
www.nuhag.eu

ISIAM Conference 2018, Amritsar

February 3rd, 2018



Fourier Transform over the Real Line

The work of H.L. Lebesgue paved the way to a clean definition of the Fourier transform for “functions of a continuous variables” as an *integral transform* naturally defined on $(L^1(\mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|_1)$

$$\|f\|_1 := \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)| dx, \quad f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}). \quad (1)$$

The (continuous) Fourier transform for $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ is given by:

$$\hat{f}(s) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-2\pi i s x} dx, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2)$$

With this normalization the inverse Fourier transform looks similar, just with the conjugate exponent, and thus, *under the assumption that f is continuous and $\hat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$* we have pointwise

$$f(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(s) e^{2\pi i s t} ds.$$



Plancherel's Theorem: Unitarity Property of FT

Using the density of $L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ in $(L^2(\mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|_2)$ it can be shown that the Fourier transform extends in a natural and unique way to $(L^2(\mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|_2)$:

Theorem

The Fourier (-Plancherel) transform establishes a unitary automorphism of $(L^2(\mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|_2)$, i.e. one has

$$\|f\|_2 = \|\hat{f}\|_2, \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}),$$

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \langle \hat{f}, \hat{g} \rangle, \quad f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

In some sense *unitary* transformations of a Hilbert transform is like a change from one ONB to another ONB in \mathbb{R}^n .



The Continuous Superposition of Pure Frequencies

This impression is confirmed by the “continuous representation” formula, using $\chi_s(x) = e^{2\pi isx}$, $x, s \in \mathbb{R}$. Since we have

$$\hat{f}(s) = \langle f, \chi_s \rangle, \quad s \in \mathbb{R},$$

we can rewrite (formally) the Fourier inversion formula as

$$f = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle f, \chi_s \rangle \chi_s, \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}). \quad (4)$$

This looks like a perfect orthogonal expansion, but unfortunately the “building blocks” $\chi_s \notin L^2(\mathbb{R})!!$ (this requires f to be in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$).



Convolution and the Fourier Transform

Another important fact about the Fourier transform is the so-called **convolution theorem**, i.e. the Fourier transform converts convolution into pointwise multiplication.

Again it is natural to define convolution on $(\mathbf{L}^1(\mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|_1)$:

$$f * g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x-y)g(y)dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x-y)f(y)dy \quad \text{xa.e.}; \quad (5)$$

$$\|f * g\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1, \quad f, g \in \mathbf{L}^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

For positive functions f, g one even has equality. This is relevant for the determination of probability distributions of a sum of *independent* random variables. Assume X has density f and Y has density g then the random variable $X + Y$ has probability density distribution $f * g = g * f$.



Banach algebras

Theorem

Endowed with the bilinear mapping $(f, g) \rightarrow f * g$ the Banach space $(\mathbf{L}^1(\mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|_1)$ becomes a commutative Banach algebra with respect to convolution.

The **convolution theorem**, usually formulated as the identity

$$\widehat{f * g} = \hat{f} \cdot \hat{g}, \quad f, g \in \mathbf{L}^1(\mathbb{R}), \quad (6)$$

implies

Theorem

The Fourier algebra, defined as $\mathcal{FL}^1(\mathbb{R}) := \{\hat{f} \mid f \in \mathbf{L}^1(\mathbb{R})\}$, with the norm $\|\hat{f}\|_{\mathcal{FL}^1} := \|f\|_1$ is a Banach algebra, closed under conjugation, and dense in $(\mathbf{C}_0(\mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ (continuous functions, vanishing at infinity).

Function space norms

Function spaces are typically infinite-dimensional, therefore we are interested to allow convergent series. In order to check on them we need norms and completeness (in the metric sense), i.e. Banach spaces!

The classical function space norms are

- $\|f\|_\infty := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^d} |f(t)|;$
- $\|f\|_1 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)| dx;$
- $\|f\|_2 := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2};$
- $\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{M}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)} := \sup_{\|f\|_\infty \leq 1} |\mu(f)|,$ or
 $\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{M}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbf{1} d|\mu|.$
- $\|h\|_{\mathcal{FL}^1} = \|f\|_1,$ for $h = \hat{f}.$




The usual function spaces $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ 

Figure: $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (red) and $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (yellow)

Typical windows or filters: Gauss, box, SINC






Figure: gausboxSINC1.eps



SINC is not integrable

As an illustration for the poor decay of the SINC-function (implying $\text{sinc} \notin L^1(\mathbb{R})!$), let us have a look at the graph of this function:



$L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and the Fourier algebra $\mathcal{FL}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ 

The pairs $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $M(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$




Figure: Pairs of spaces with the same norm and their duality (the outer is the dual of the inner of the other pair)!



The domain of the Fourier inversion theorem




Figure: Light blue marks the domain of the Fourier inversion theorem (in a pointwise sense), still not Poisson!





Pictorial description of $W(C_0, \ell^1)$ 

Figure: WienerSmo1.jpg

The Wiener algebra and its dual space);
 The yellow part of $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (red) depicts $W(C_0, \ell^1)(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The
 green area outside represents the dual space: The space
 $W(M, \ell^\infty)(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of *translation bounded* Borel measures.



Further function spaces




Figure: Inside is $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, at the extreme outside the space of all transformable measures (Gil de Lamadrid) with a FT which is also a transformable measure



Idea of Wiener amalgams: CUT and MEASURE





Figure: WFLIi-norm1.eps



A larger Wiener amalgam space $W(L^1, \ell^2)$

The maximal solid space with locally (square) integrable Fourier transforms.



A more detailed look at the various spaces





Figure: COMBWRWRDzero.jpg



Sobolev spaces, weighted $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, Shubin classesSobolev spaces and weighted L2 spaces and M^{-1} spaces



Including the Banach spaces $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\mathcal{S}'_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ 

Figure: soplot2bf.eps

A schematic description: the simplified setting

Testfunctions \subset Hilbert space \subset Distributions, like $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$!

the RIGGED Hilbert Space situation



The key-players for time-frequency analysis

Time-shifts and Frequency shifts

$$T_x f(t) = f(t - x)$$

and $x, \omega, t \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$M_\omega f(t) = e^{2\pi i \omega \cdot t} f(t).$$

Behavior under Fourier transform


$$(\widehat{T_x f}) = M_{-x} \hat{f} \quad (\widehat{M_\omega f}) = T_\omega \hat{f}$$

The Short-Time Fourier Transform


$$V_g f(\lambda) = \langle f, M_\omega T_t g \rangle = \langle f, \pi(\lambda) g \rangle = \langle f, g_\lambda \rangle, \quad \lambda = (t, \omega);$$



A Typical Musical STFT



Demonstration using GEOGEBRA (very easy to use!!)



Spectrogramm versus Gabor Analysis

Assuming that we use as a “window” a Schwartz function $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, or even the Gauss function $g_0(t) = \exp(-\pi|t|^2)$, we can define the spectrogram for general tempered distributions $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$! It is a continuous function over *phase space*.

In fact, for the case of the Gauss function it is *analytic* and in fact a member of the *Fock space*, of interest within complex analysis.

Both from a practical point of view and in view of this good smoothness one may expect that it is enough to *sample this spectrogram*, denoted by $V_g(f)$ and still be able to reconstruct f (in analogy to the reconstruction of a band-limited signal from regular samples, according to Shannon's theorem).



So let us start from the continuous spectrogram

The spectrogram $V_g(f)$, with $g, f \in \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is well defined and has a number of good properties. Cauchy-Schwarz implies:

$$\|V_g(f)\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2, \quad f, g \in \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

in fact $V_g(f) \in \mathbf{C}_0(\mathbb{R}^d \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d)$. Plancherel's Theorem gives

$$\|V_g(f)\|_2 = \|g\|_2 \|f\|_2, \quad g, f \in \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Assuming that g is normalized in $\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, or $\|g\|_2 = 1$ makes $f \mapsto V_g(f)$ isometric, hence we request this from now on. Note: $V_g(f)$ is a complex-valued function, so we usually look at $|V_g(f)|$, or perhaps better $|V_g(f)|^2$, which can be viewed as a probability distribution over $\mathbb{R}^d \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$ if $\|f\|_2 = 1 = \|g\|_2$.



The continuous reconstruction formula

Now we can apply a simple abstract principle: Given an isometric embedding T of \mathcal{H}_1 into \mathcal{H}_2 the inverse (in the range) is given by the adjoint operator $T^* : \mathcal{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1$, simply because $\forall h \in \mathcal{H}_1$

$$\langle h, h \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1} = \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}_1}^2 = (!) \|Th\|_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2 = \langle Th, Th \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2} = \langle h, T^*Th \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1}, \quad (7)$$

and thus by the *polarization principle* $T^*T = Id$.

In our setting we have (assuming $\|g\|_2 = 1$) $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 = \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d)$, and $T = V_g$. It is easy to check that

$$V_g^*(F) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d} F(\lambda) \pi(\lambda) g \, d\lambda, \quad F \in \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d), \quad (8)$$

understood in the weak sense, i.e. for $h \in \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we expect:

$$\langle V_g^*(F), h \rangle_{\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d} F(x) \cdot \langle \pi(\lambda) g, h \rangle_{\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} d\lambda. \quad (9)$$



Continuous reconstruction formula II

Putting things together we have

$$\langle f, h \rangle = \langle V_g^*(V_g(f)), h \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d} V_g(f)(\lambda) \cdot \overline{V_g(h)(\lambda)} d\lambda. \quad (10)$$

A more suggestive presentation uses the symbol $g_\lambda := \pi(\lambda)g$ and describes the inversion formula for $\|g\|_2 = 1$ as:

$$f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d} \langle f, g_\lambda \rangle g_\lambda d\lambda, \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d). \quad (11)$$

This is quite analogous to the situation of the Fourier transform

$$f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle f, \chi_s \rangle \chi_s ds, \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad (12)$$

with $\chi_s(t) = \exp(2\pi i \langle s, t \rangle)$, $t, s \in \mathbb{R}^d$, describing the “pure frequencies” (plane waves, resp. *characters* of \mathbb{R}^d).



A Banach Space of Test Functions (Fei 1979)

A function in $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is in the subspace $\mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if for some non-zero g (called the “window”) in the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\|f\|_{\mathbf{S}_0} := \|V_g f\|_{L^1} = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \hat{\mathbb{R}}^d} |V_g f(x, \omega)| dx d\omega < \infty.$$

The space $(\mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{S}_0})$ is a Banach space, for any fixed, non-zero $g \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and different windows g define the same space and equivalent norms. Since $\mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ contains the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, any Schwartz function is suitable, but also compactly supported functions having an integrable Fourier transform (such as a trapezoidal or triangular function) are suitable. It is convenient to use the Gaussian as a window.



Basic properties of $M^1 = \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$

Lemma

Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then the following holds:

- (1) $\pi(u, \eta)f \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for $(u, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$, and
 $\|\pi(u, \eta)f\|_{\mathcal{S}_0} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{S}_0}$.
- (2) $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and $\|\hat{f}\|_{\mathcal{S}_0} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{S}_0}$.

In fact, $(\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{S}_0})$ is the smallest non-trivial Banach space with this property, and therefore contained in any of the L^p -spaces (and their Fourier images).



BANACH GELFAND TRIPLES: a new category

Definition

A triple, consisting of a Banach space \mathbf{B} , which is dense in some Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , which in turn is contained in \mathbf{B}' is called a **Banach Gelfand triple**.


Definition

If $(\mathbf{B}_1, \mathcal{H}_1, \mathbf{B}'_1)$ and $(\mathbf{B}_2, \mathcal{H}_2, \mathbf{B}'_2)$ are Gelfand triples then a linear operator T is called a **[unitary] Gelfand triple isomorphism** if

- ① A is an isomorphism between \mathbf{B}_1 and \mathbf{B}_2 .
- ② A is [a unitary operator resp.] an isomorphism between \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 .
- ③ A extends to a weak* isomorphism as well as a norm-to-norm continuous isomorphism between \mathbf{B}'_1 and \mathbf{B}'_2 .

A schematic description: the simplified setting

In our picture this simple means that the inner “kernel” is mapped into the “kernel”, the Hilbert space to the Hilbert space, and at the outer level two types of continuity are valid (norm and w^*)!



The prototypical examples over the torus

In principle every CONB (= *complete orthonormal basis*) $\Psi = (\psi_i)_{i \in I}$ for a given Hilbert space \mathcal{H} can be used to establish such a unitary isomorphism, by choosing as \mathbf{B} the space of elements within \mathcal{H} which have an absolutely convergent expansion, i.e. satisfy $\sum_{i \in I} |\langle x, \psi_i \rangle| < \infty$.

For the case of the Fourier system as CONB for $\mathcal{H} = \mathbf{L}^2([0, 1])$, i.e. the corresponding definition is already around since the times of N. Wiener: $\mathbf{A}(\mathbb{T})$, the space of absolutely continuous Fourier series. It is also not surprising in retrospect to see that the dual space $\mathbf{PM}(\mathbb{T}) = \mathbf{A}(\mathbb{T})'$ is space of *pseudo-measures*. One can extend the classical Fourier transform to this space, and in fact interpret this extended mapping, in conjunction with the classical Plancherel theorem as the first unitary Banach Gelfand triple isomorphism, between $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{L}^2, \mathbf{PM})(\mathbb{T})$ and $(\ell^1, \ell^2, \ell^\infty)(\mathbb{Z})$.



The Fourier transform as BGT automorphism

The **Fourier transform** \mathcal{F} on \mathbb{R}^d has the following properties:

- ① \mathcal{F} is an isomorphism from $\mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $\mathbf{S}_0(\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d)$,
- ② \mathcal{F} is a unitary map between $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d)$,
- ③ \mathcal{F} is a weak* (and norm-to-norm) continuous bijection from $\mathbf{S}'_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ onto $\mathbf{S}'_0(\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d)$.

Furthermore, we have that Parseval's formula $\langle f, g \rangle = \langle \widehat{f}, \widehat{g} \rangle$ for $(f, g) \in \mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathbf{S}'_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and therefore on each level of the Gelfand triple $(\mathbf{S}_0, L^2, \mathbf{S}'_0)(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Moreover \mathcal{F} is *uniquely determined* (as BGTTr isomorphism) by the property that $\widehat{\chi_S} = \delta_S$.



A Banach Space of Test Functions (Fei 1979)

A function in $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is in the subspace $\mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if for some non-zero g (called the “window”) in the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$


$$\|f\|_{\mathbf{S}_0} := \|V_g f\|_{L^1} = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d} |V_g f(x, \omega)| dx d\omega < \infty.$$

The space $(\mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{S}_0})$ is a Banach space, for any fixed, non-zero $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and different windows g define the same space and equivalent norms. Since $\mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ contains the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, any Schwartz function is suitable, but also compactly supported functions having an integrable Fourier transform (such as a trapezoidal or triangular function) are suitable. It is convenient to use the Gaussian as a window.



Frames and Riesz Bases: Commutative Diagrams

Frame case: \mathcal{C} is injective, but not surjective, and \mathcal{R} is a left inverse of \mathcal{C} . This implies that $P = \mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{R}$ is a projection in \mathbf{Y} onto the range Y_0 of \mathcal{C} in \mathbf{Y} :



Riesz Basis case: E.g. $\mathbf{X}_0 \subset \mathbf{X} = L^p$, and $\mathbf{Y} = \ell^p$:



Unconditional Banach Frames

A suggestion for “realistic Banach frames”:

Definition

A mapping $\mathcal{C} : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ defines an **unconditional (or solid) Banach frame** for \mathbf{B} w.r.t. the sequence space \mathbf{Y} if


- 1 $\exists \mathcal{R} : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$, with $\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{C} = Id_{\mathbf{B}}$,
- 2 $(\mathbf{Y}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{Y}})$ is a solid Banach space of sequences over I , with $\mathbf{c} \mapsto c_i$ being continuous from \mathbf{Y} to \mathbf{C} and solid, i.e. satisfying $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{x} : |x_i| \leq |z_i| \forall i \in I \Rightarrow \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{Y}, \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \|\mathbf{z}\|_{\mathbf{Y}}$;
- 3 finite sequences are dense in \mathbf{Y} (at least W^*).

Corollary

By setting $h_i := \mathcal{R}e_i$ we have $\mathcal{R}\mathbf{c} = \mathcal{R}(\sum e_i \mathbf{e}_i) = \sum_{i \in I} c_i h_i$ unconditional in $(\mathbf{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{B}})$, hence $f = \sum_{i \in I} T(f)_i h_i$ as unconditionally convergent series.

Similar to the situation for matrices of maximal rank (with row and column space, null-space of \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{A}') we have:

$\mathbf{P} = \mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{R}$ is a projection in \mathbf{Y} onto the range \mathbf{Y}_0 of \mathcal{C} , thus we have the following commutative diagram.



The frame diagram for Gelfand triples $(\mathcal{S}_0, L^2, \mathcal{S}'_0)$:

A simple way to express the fact that good windows $g \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ allow to discretize properly the continuous reconstruction formula is the entry point to **Gabor Analysis**.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & (\ell^1, \ell^2, \ell^\infty) & \\
 & \swarrow \mathcal{R} & \downarrow \mathbf{P} \\
 (\mathcal{S}_0, L^2, \mathcal{S}'_0) & \xleftrightarrow[\mathcal{C}]{\mathcal{R}} & \mathcal{C}((\mathcal{S}_0, L^2, \mathcal{S}'_0))
 \end{array}$$



The KERNEL THEOREM for $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{R}d$

The *kernel theorem* for the Schwartz space can be read as follows:

Theorem

For every continuous linear mapping T from $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ into $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ there exists a unique tempered distribution $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ such that

$$T(f)(g) = \sigma(f \otimes g), \quad f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d). \quad (13)$$

Conversely, any such $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ induces a (unique) operator T such that (13) holds.

The proof of this theorem is based on the fact that $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a *nuclear Frechet space*, i.e. has the topology generated by a sequence of semi-norms, can be described by a metric which turns $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ into a complete metric space.



The KERNEL THEOREM for \mathcal{S}_0 I

Tensor products are also most suitable in order to describe the set of all operators with certain mapping properties. The backbone of the corresponding theorems are the *kernel-theorem* which reads as follows (!! despite the fact that $(\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{S}_0})$ is NOT a *nuclear Frechet space*)

One of the corner stones for the kernel theorem is: One of the most important properties of $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (leading to a characterization given by V. Losert, [?]) is the tensor-product factorization:

Lemma

$$\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^k) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \cong \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^{k+n}), \quad (14)$$

with equivalence of the corresponding norms.

The KERNEL THEOREM for \mathcal{S}_0 II

The **Kernel Theorem** for general operators in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{S}'_0)$:

Theorem

If K is a bounded operator from $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $\mathcal{S}'_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then there exists a unique kernel $k \in \mathcal{S}'_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ such that $\langle Kf, g \rangle = \langle k, g \otimes f \rangle$ for $f, g \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where $g \otimes f(x, y) = g(x)f(y)$.

Formally sometimes one writes by “abuse of language”

$$Kf(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} k(x, y)f(y)dy$$

with the understanding that one can define the action of the functional $Kf \in \mathcal{S}'_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ as

$$Kf(g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} k(x, y)f(y)dy g(x)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} k(x, y)g(x)f(y)dxdy.$$



The KERNEL THEOREM for S_0 III

This result is the “outer shell” of the Gelfand triple isomorphism. The “middle = Hilbert” shell which corresponds to the well-known result that Hilbert Schmidt operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are just those compact operators which arise as integral operators with $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ -kernels.



The KERNEL THEOREM for \mathbf{S}_0 IV

Theorem

*The classical kernel theorem for Hilbert Schmidt operators is unitary at the Hilbert spaces level, with $\langle T, S \rangle_{\mathcal{HS}} = \text{trace}(T * S')$ as scalar product on \mathcal{HS} and the usual Hilbert space structure on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ on the kernels.*

Moreover, such an operator has a kernel in $\mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ if and only if the corresponding operator K maps $\mathbf{S}'_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ into $\mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, but not only in a bounded way, but also continuously from w^ -topology into the norm topology of $\mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

In analogy to the matrix case, where the entries of the matrix

$$a_{k,j} = T(\mathbf{e}_j)_k = \langle T(\mathbf{e}_j), \mathbf{e}_k \rangle$$

we have for $K \in \mathbf{S}_0$ the continuous version of this principle:

$$K(x, y) = \delta_x(T(\delta_y)), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$



The Kernel Theorem as a BGT isomorphism

The different version of the kernel theorem for operators between \mathbf{S}_0 and \mathbf{S}'_0 can be summarized using the terminology of Banach Gelfand Triples (BGTR) as follows.

Theorem

There is a unique Banach Gelfand Triple isomorphism between the Banach Gelfand triple of kernels $(\mathbf{S}_0, L^2, \mathbf{S}'_0)(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and the operator Gelfand triple around the Hilbert space \mathcal{HS} of Hilbert Schmidt operators, namely $(\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{S}'_0, \mathbf{S}_0), \mathcal{HS}, \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{S}_0, \mathbf{S}'_0))$, where the first set is understood as the w^ to norm continuous operators from $\mathbf{S}'_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $\mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the so-called regularizing operators.*



Spreading function and Kohn-Nirenberg symbol

- ① For $\sigma \in \mathbf{S}'_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the *pseudodifferential operator* with *Kohn-Nirenberg symbol* σ is given by:

$$T_\sigma f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sigma(x, \omega) \hat{f}(\omega) e^{2\pi i x \cdot \omega} d\omega$$

The formula for the integral kernel $K(x, y)$ is obtained

$$\begin{aligned} T_\sigma f(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sigma(x, \omega) e^{-2\pi i (y-x) \cdot \omega} d\omega \right) f(y) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} k(x, y) f(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

- ② The *spreading representation* of T_σ arises from

$$T_\sigma f(x) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \hat{\sigma}(\eta, u) M_\eta T_{-u} f(x) du d\eta.$$

$\hat{\sigma}$ is called the *spreading function* of T_σ .



Further details concerning Kohn-Nirenberg symbol

(courtesy of Goetz Pfander (Eichstätt):)

- *Symmetric coordinate transform: $\mathcal{T}_s F(x, y) = F(x + \frac{y}{2}, x - \frac{y}{2})$*
- *Anti-symmetric coordinate transform: $\mathcal{T}_a F(x, y) = F(x, y - x)$*
- *Reflection: $\mathcal{I}_2 F(x, y) = F(x, -y)$*
- *partial Fourier transform in the first variable: \mathcal{F}_1*
- *partial Fourier transform in the second variable: \mathcal{F}_2*

The kernel $K(x, y)$ can be described as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} K(x, y) &= \mathcal{F}_2 \sigma(\eta, y - x) = \mathcal{F}_1^{-1} \hat{\sigma}(x, y - x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \hat{\sigma}(\eta, y - x) \cdot e^{2\pi i \eta \cdot x} d\eta. \end{aligned}$$



Kohn-Nirenberg symbol and spreading function II

operator H	$Hf(x)$
↕	=
kernel κ_H	$\int \kappa_H(x, s) f(s) ds$
↕	=
Kohn–Nirenberg symbol σ_H	$\int \sigma_H(x, \omega) \widehat{f}(\omega) e^{2\pi i x \cdot \omega} d\omega$
↕	=
time-varying impulse response h_H	$\int h_H(t, x) f(x - t) dt$
↕	=
spreading function η_H	$\int \int \eta_H(t, \nu) f(x - t) e^{2\pi i x \cdot \nu} dt d\nu$
	=
	$\int \int \eta_H(t, \nu) M_\nu T_t f(x), dt d\nu,$



My relevant tools

For me the most relevant tools to “produce mathematics” are:

- MATLAB (simulation, numerics, illustration);
- GEOGEBRA (geometry, trigonometry, dynamic);
- LATEX (for presentations);
- Didactically I compare the Banach Gelfand triple with

$$\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{Q}$$

asking sometimes: **How do you actually interpret $1/\pi^2$?**



Summary

In this talk, aside from a **picture book presentation** I have tried to communicate various suggestions:

- 1 One needs to understand basic **distribution theory** (using Banach spaces only), no Lebesgue integration of topological vector spaces;
- 2 Computations, images, plots can help the understanding, not only illustrate results numerically;
- 3 Diagrams can provide a big help
- 4 Numerical simulations (e.g. MATLAB) can provide interesting experimental information



Using this approach one can SAVE statements such as...

The **sifting property**,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)\delta(x - \xi)dx = f(\xi), \quad (15)$$

The identity

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(k-l)x} dx = \delta(k - l) \quad (16)$$



The audio-engineer's work: Gabor multipliers



What else can you do with $(\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{S}_0})$?

- ① It is a good replacement for $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{G})$ (Schwartz-Bruhat) (except for applications in PDE);
- ② It allows to demonstrate that there is “only one Fourier transform” (citation to Jens Fischer), including periodic and nonperiodic, discrete and continuous functions;
- ③ they can be mutually approximated **in the w^* -topology**;
- ④ $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ contains all **classical summability kernels**,
- ⑤ we can use it for **generalized stochastic processes**
- ⑥ it is the basis of my concept of **Conceptual Harmonic Analysis**, a kind of marriage between abstract and numerical harmonic analysis.



Thanks!

THANK you for your attention
maybe you visit www.nuhag.eu
and checkout other talks and related material. hgfei

